

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

DIPPIN' DOTS, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THOMAS R. MOSEY, et al.,


Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 3:96-CV-1959-L

ORDER

This is an action for patent infringement. Following a jury trial on the issue of invalidity, it is before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to Amend Judgment [Doc. 945]. The motion is granted in part and denied in part. A motion under Rule 59(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure serves the narrow purpose of allowing a party "to correct manifest errors of law or fact or to present newly discovered evidence." Waltman v. International Paper Co., 875 F.2d 468, 473 (5th Cir. 1989). The Court clearly erred in the June 3, 2004 Order in directing the Clerk to enter a judgment for postjudgment interest from October 20, 2003, the date of the jury verdict. The Clerk is directed to enter an amended judgment awarding prejudgment interest from October 20, 2003. Otherwise, the motion is DENIED.

SO ORDERED, this 4 day of August, 2005.



THOMAS W. THRASH, JR.
United States District Judge